INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (IJEMSS)

e-ISSN : 3139-065X

www.ijemss.com

editor@ijemss.com

Vol. 01, Issue 2, June 2026, pp : 184-191

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Accounting Practices A Conceptual Review

[1]Dr. G.S. Veenakumari M.Com., M.B.A., Ph.D.

[1]Associate Professor,Department of Commerce,Sri Srinivasa Degree College,Madanapalle – 517325,Annamayya District,Andhra Pradesh, India,gsveenakumari1111@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative forces reshaping the accounting profession, influencing how financial data is recorded, processed, analyzed, and reported. This conceptual paper examines the impact of AI technologies—including machine learning, natural language processing, robotic process automation, and intelligent decision-support systems—on contemporary accounting practices. Drawing on an extensive review of literature, the paper explores how AI is transforming financial reporting, auditing and assurance, taxation, fraud detection, and management accounting, while also reshaping the skill requirements and professional identity of accountants. The review identifies key gaps in existing research, particularly concerning AI adoption in developing economies, the ethical and governance implications of algorithmic decision-making, and the long-term workforce implications of automation. The paper situates these issues within established theoretical frameworks, including the Technology Acceptance Model, Diffusion of Innovation Theory, and Task-Technology Fit Theory, to provide a structured lens for understanding adoption behaviour. Based on this synthesis, the paper outlines future research directions and discusses theoretical, practical, and policy implications for accounting professionals, regulators, educators, and organizations. The findings suggest that while AI offers substantial opportunities for efficiency, accuracy, and strategic value creation in accounting, its successful integration depends on addressing skill gaps, data governance frameworks, and trust in algorithmic outputs.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Accounting Practices; Auditing; Automation; Financial Reporting; Technology Adoption

1. Introduction

The accounting profession has undergone successive waves of technological transformation, from the introduction of computerized ledgers and enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems to cloud-based accounting platforms and, most recently, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI). Unlike earlier generations of accounting technology that primarily automated repetitive, rule-based tasks, AI introduces cognitive capabilities—pattern recognition, natural language understanding, predictive analytics, and increasingly autonomous decision-making—that extend automation into domains traditionally reliant on professional judgment.

AI in accounting encompasses a broad spectrum of technologies, including machine learning algorithms that detect anomalies in transaction data, natural language processing (NLP) tools that extract information from unstructured documents such as invoices and contracts, robotic process automation (RPA) that executes rule-based workflows, and, increasingly, generative AI systems capable of drafting financial commentary, summarizing reports, and supporting strategic analysis. These technologies are being deployed across the full spectrum of accounting functions—bookkeeping, financial reporting, internal and external auditing, taxation, budgeting, forecasting, and management accounting.

The adoption of AI in accounting is driven by several converging factors. First, the exponential growth in transaction volumes and data complexity associated with digital business models has made manual processing increasingly impractical. Second, regulators and stakeholders demand greater transparency, accuracy, and timeliness in financial reporting, which AI-enabled continuous auditing and real-time analytics can support. Third, competitive pressures push organizations to reduce the cost of finance functions while simultaneously enhancing the strategic value that

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (IJEMSS)

e-ISSN : 3139-065X

www.ijemss.com

editor@ijemss.com

Vol. 01, Issue 2, June 2026, pp : 184-191

accounting and finance teams contribute to decision-making. Fourth, the accelerated digital transformation witnessed across industries in recent years has normalized remote work supported by cloud-based and AI-enabled tools, embedding AI more deeply into everyday accounting workflows.

At the same time, the integration of AI into accounting raises significant questions. How will AI affect the roles, skills, and employment prospects of accountants and auditors? Can algorithmic outputs be trusted for high-stakes financial decisions, and how should professional judgment be exercised when AI systems generate recommendations or flag anomalies? What ethical, legal, and governance frameworks are needed to ensure accountability when AI systems are involved in financial reporting and assurance processes? How do these dynamics play out differently across developed and developing economies, where infrastructure, regulatory maturity, and digital literacy vary considerably?

This paper undertakes a conceptual review of the impact of AI on accounting practices. The objective is not to report primary empirical findings but to synthesize existing literature, identify research gaps, ground the discussion in relevant theoretical frameworks, and chart directions for future inquiry. The paper is structured as follows. Following this introduction, a review of literature examines AI applications across major accounting functions—financial reporting, auditing, taxation, fraud detection, and management accounting—as well as the broader implications for the accounting profession. The subsequent section identifies research gaps emerging from this review, followed by a statement of the paper's objectives. A theoretical background section then situates the discussion within established technology adoption and innovation diffusion frameworks. The paper concludes with a discussion of future research directions, theoretical and practical implications, and an overall conclusion.

By consolidating dispersed strands of literature into a coherent conceptual framework, this paper aims to provide researchers, practitioners, educators, and policymakers with a structured understanding of how AI is reshaping accounting practices and what remains to be explored as this transformation continues to unfold.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 AI in Financial Reporting and Bookkeeping

The earliest and most visible applications of AI in accounting relate to the automation of bookkeeping and financial reporting tasks. Robotic process automation has been widely adopted to handle repetitive activities such as data entry, invoice processing, bank reconciliations, and journal entry posting (Jejeniwa et al., 2024), freeing accounting staff to focus on analysis and interpretation rather than transaction processing. Beyond rule-based automation, machine learning models are increasingly used to classify transactions, detect coding errors, and generate draft financial statements that human accountants then review and approve.

Natural language processing has enabled significant advances in extracting structured data from unstructured sources—invoices, receipts, contracts, and correspondence—reducing the time required for document-intensive processes such as accounts payable and accounts receivable management. More recently, generative AI tools have begun to support the drafting of management discussion and analysis sections, footnote disclosures, and variance explanations, although human oversight remains essential to ensure accuracy and compliance with reporting standards (Bhimani & Willcocks, 2014).

2.2 AI in Auditing and Assurance

Auditing has been identified as one of the accounting sub-disciplines most affected by AI (Kokina & Davenport, 2017). AI-enabled audit tools can analyze entire populations of transactions rather than relying on sample-based testing, enabling more comprehensive risk assessment and anomaly detection. Cognitive technologies allow auditors to identify unusual patterns, outliers, and potential red flags that might otherwise escape detection through traditional sampling approaches, and several Big Four firms have already implemented such tools in practice (Kokina & Davenport, 2017).

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (IJEMSS)

e-ISSN : 3139-065X

www.ijemss.com

editor@ijemss.com

Vol. 01, Issue 2, June 2026, pp : 184-191

The shift toward continuous auditing—enabled by AI's capacity to process data in real time—represents a fundamental change from periodic, retrospective audits to ongoing assurance processes. Empirical evidence suggests that the introduction of AI-based audit tools is associated with improvements in audit quality and efficiency (Fedyk et al., 2022). However, the adoption of AI in auditing also raises questions regarding the explainability of algorithmic outputs, particularly when machine learning models function as "black boxes" whose decision logic is not fully transparent to auditors, audit committees, or regulators (Munoko et al., 2020).

2.3 AI in Taxation and Regulatory Compliance

AI applications in taxation include automated tax computation, classification of transactions for tax treatment, identification of tax planning opportunities, and compliance monitoring across multiple jurisdictions. Machine learning models can flag transactions that may trigger tax exposure or non-compliance, while NLP tools assist in interpreting and cross-referencing complex and frequently changing tax regulations. For organizations operating across multiple tax jurisdictions, AI-enabled compliance systems reduce the administrative burden of tracking regulatory changes and help ensure more consistent application of tax rules across business units (Hasan, 2021).

2.4 AI in Fraud Detection and Risk Management

Fraud detection represents one of the more mature applications of AI in accounting and finance. Machine learning algorithms trained on historical transaction data can identify patterns associated with fraudulent activity—such as unusual vendor relationships, duplicate payments, or anomalous expense claims—with greater speed and consistency than manual review processes. Anomaly detection models continuously learn from new data, improving their ability to identify emerging fraud schemes over time (Sutton et al., 2016).

Beyond fraud detection, AI supports broader enterprise risk management by integrating financial, operational, and external data sources to generate early warning indicators of financial distress, liquidity risk, or covenant breaches. This predictive capability shifts the accounting function from a primarily retrospective reporting role toward a forward-looking, risk-informed advisory role (Zhang et al., 2020).

2.5 AI in Management Accounting and Decision Support

Within management accounting, AI technologies support budgeting, forecasting, variance analysis, and scenario planning by processing larger volumes of internal and external data than traditional methods allow. Predictive analytics models can generate more accurate demand forecasts, cost projections, and profitability analyses, enabling management accountants to provide more timely and granular insights to decision-makers. The growing integration of AI, machine learning, deep learning, and generative AI into management accounting has been described as having the potential to fundamentally disrupt the management accounting domain and redefine the accounting function's relationship with other parts of the organization.

This shift positions management accountants less as producers of historical reports and more as analysts and advisors who interpret AI-generated insights for strategic decision-making. However, this evolving role requires new competencies in data literacy, statistical interpretation, and technology governance (Moll & Yigitbasioglu, 2019).

2.6 Adoption Challenges, Ethical Considerations, and Professional Implications

Despite the demonstrated benefits of AI in accounting, adoption remains uneven across organizations and jurisdictions. Studies note that while accounting practices increasingly incorporate AI-enabled technology to streamline processes and improve efficiency, concerns persist regarding the AI proficiency of accounting professionals and the potential impact on employment within the profession. This tension between efficiency gains and workforce displacement concerns is a recurring theme in the literature.

Ethical considerations associated with AI in accounting include questions of algorithmic bias, data privacy, accountability for AI-generated errors, and the appropriate allocation of professional responsibility between human

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (IJEMSS)

e-ISSN : 3139-065X

www.ijemss.com

editor@ijemss.com

Vol. 01, Issue 2, June 2026, pp : 184-191

accountants and AI systems (Munoko et al., 2020). A recent systematic review situates these ethical implications within the broader trajectory of AI adoption in the accounting profession, highlighting the need for updated professional standards and governance frameworks (Steedman et al., 2025).

From a professional development perspective, the literature emphasizes that accountants will require new technology-related competencies—including data analytics, programming literacy, and the ability to critically evaluate AI outputs—alongside traditional accounting skills. A recent review applying a systems-of-professions framework argues that AI and machine learning are reshaping professional judgment in accounting, with implications for expertise, authority, and governance within the profession (Jones & Free, 2026).

2.7 Contextual and Geographic Considerations

Much of the existing literature on AI in accounting has focused on developed economies and large multinational organizations, particularly the Big Four accounting firms. Comparatively less attention has been paid to AI adoption in developing and emerging economies, where infrastructure constraints, regulatory environments, and resource availability differ substantially. Recent studies examining AI adoption within specific national contexts—such as research evaluating accounting academics' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding AI in the context of a national digital transformation strategy—illustrate how AI adoption interacts with broader governance and human capital development agendas. Such contextual studies highlight the importance of examining AI's impact on accounting practices within specific institutional, regulatory, and economic settings rather than assuming uniform global adoption patterns (Tiron-Tudor et al., 2021).

3. Research Gaps

Based on the foregoing review of literature, the following gaps emerge as priorities for further investigation:

1. Limited research on AI adoption in developing and emerging economies, where the pace, drivers, and barriers to AI adoption in accounting may differ substantially from developed-economy contexts.
2. Insufficient empirical evidence on the long-term workforce implications of AI adoption in accounting, including the extent to which AI substitutes versus complements human accountants across organizations of different sizes and sectors.
3. A lack of consensus on governance frameworks for ensuring the explainability, auditability, and accountability of AI systems used in financial reporting and assurance.
4. Limited investigation into how accounting education and professional certification programs are evolving to address the competency requirements associated with AI-enabled accounting practices.
5. Few studies examine the interaction between AI adoption and existing organizational factors such as firm size, ownership structure, and industry sector in shaping the pace and depth of AI integration into accounting functions.
6. The ethical and regulatory implications of generative AI use in accounting—particularly regarding the drafting of financial disclosures and management commentary—remain underexplored, given the relatively recent emergence of these technologies.

4. Objectives

Drawing on the research gaps identified above, this paper is guided by the following objectives:

7. To examine the extent and nature of AI adoption across major accounting functions, including financial reporting, auditing, taxation, fraud detection, and management accounting.
8. To identify the key drivers and barriers influencing the adoption of AI technologies in accounting practices.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (IJEMSS)

e-ISSN : 3139-065X

www.ijemss.com

editor@ijemss.com

Vol. 01, Issue 2, June 2026, pp : 184-191

9. To analyze the implications of AI adoption for the roles, skills, and professional identity of accountants and auditors.
10. To examine the ethical, governance, and regulatory considerations associated with the use of AI in accounting and financial reporting.
11. To identify gaps in the existing literature and propose directions for future research on AI in accounting.
12. To derive theoretical, practical, and policy implications for accounting professionals, organizations, regulators, and educators arising from the integration of AI into accounting practices.

5. Theoretical Background

5.1 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model, originally proposed by Davis (1989), posits that an individual's intention to adopt a new technology is determined primarily by two beliefs: perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. Within the context of AI adoption in accounting, perceived usefulness relates to the extent to which accountants and finance professionals believe that AI tools will enhance their job performance—for example, by reducing the time spent on routine reconciliations or improving the accuracy of fraud detection. Perceived ease of use concerns the degree to which professionals believe that AI-enabled systems can be operated without excessive cognitive or technical effort. TAM provides a useful lens for understanding individual-level resistance or acceptance of AI tools within accounting departments, particularly where AI systems require accountants to interpret and act upon outputs generated by complex algorithms whose internal workings may not be fully transparent.

5.2 Diffusion of Innovation Theory

Rogers' (2003) Diffusion of Innovation theory describes how, why, and at what rate new ideas and technologies spread through organizations and societies. The theory identifies five attributes of innovations that influence adoption: relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability. Applied to AI in accounting, relative advantage reflects the perceived efficiency and accuracy gains over existing manual or rule-based systems; compatibility concerns the fit between AI tools and existing accounting information systems, organizational workflows, and professional norms; complexity reflects the technical sophistication required to implement and maintain AI systems; trialability refers to the ability of organizations to pilot AI applications on a limited scale before full deployment; and observability concerns the visibility of AI's benefits to other potential adopters within and across organizations. This framework helps explain why AI adoption in accounting has progressed unevenly—larger organizations with greater resources for piloting and observing AI applications have generally adopted AI technologies earlier than smaller firms.

5.3 Task-Technology Fit Theory

Task-Technology Fit theory, developed by Goodhue and Thompson (1995), proposes that information technology is more likely to have a positive impact on individual performance when the capabilities of the technology match the demands of the task at hand. In the accounting context, Task-Technology Fit theory suggests that the impact of AI on accounting practices will depend on how well specific AI capabilities—such as pattern recognition for fraud detection, natural language processing for document review, or predictive analytics for forecasting—align with the specific demands of accounting tasks such as auditing, tax compliance, or budgeting. This theoretical lens highlights that AI is unlikely to deliver uniform benefits across all accounting functions; rather, its impact will vary depending on the nature of the task and the suitability of the underlying AI technology for that task.

5.4 Institutional Theory and the Legitimacy of AI Adoption

Institutional theory offers a complementary perspective by emphasizing that organizational adoption of new technologies is shaped not only by efficiency considerations but also by the need to conform to prevailing norms, regulatory expectations, and professional standards. Within accounting, professional bodies, regulators, and standard-

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (IJEMSS)

e-ISSN : 3139-065X

www.ijemss.com

editor@ijemss.com

Vol. 01, Issue 2, June 2026, pp : 184-191

setters play a significant role in legitimizing—or constraining—the use of AI in financial reporting and assurance processes. Institutional theory helps explain why AI adoption in accounting often proceeds cautiously, with organizations seeking to demonstrate that AI-enabled processes meet existing standards of reliability, auditability, and professional scepticism before more widespread adoption occurs.

Together, these four theoretical perspectives—the Technology Acceptance Model, Diffusion of Innovation Theory, Task-Technology Fit Theory, and Institutional Theory—provide a multi-level framework for understanding AI adoption in accounting at the individual, technological, task, and institutional levels.

6. Future Research Directions

Building on the research gaps identified earlier, several directions for future research can be proposed.

First, comparative studies examining AI adoption in accounting across developed and developing economies would help illuminate how contextual factors—such as regulatory infrastructure, digital connectivity, and professional training systems—shape the pace and nature of AI integration into accounting practices.

Second, longitudinal research tracking the evolution of accountants' roles and required competencies over time would provide more robust evidence on whether AI predominantly substitutes for or complements human labour in accounting functions, and how this balance shifts as AI technologies mature.

Third, research into governance frameworks for AI in accounting—including the development of auditability standards for AI systems, frameworks for assigning accountability when AI-assisted decisions prove erroneous, and mechanisms for ensuring explainability of machine learning outputs—represents a critical and currently underdeveloped area.

Fourth, given the rapid emergence of generative AI tools capable of producing financial narratives, disclosures, and analytical commentary, research is needed on the implications of generative AI for the integrity, originality, and regulatory compliance of financial reporting, as well as on appropriate human oversight mechanisms.

Fifth, future studies could examine how accounting curricula and professional certification programs are adapting—or need to adapt—to prepare future accountants for an AI-augmented profession, including the balance between technical accounting knowledge, data analytics skills, and ethical reasoning capabilities.

Finally, sector-specific and firm-size-specific studies would help clarify whether findings from large multinational organizations and Big Four firms generalize to small and medium-sized enterprises, which often face different resource constraints and may adopt AI technologies through different channels, such as third-party cloud accounting platforms with embedded AI features.

7. Implications

7.1 Theoretical Implications

This review contributes to the theoretical understanding of technology adoption in accounting by demonstrating the relevance of multiple, complementary frameworks—the Technology Acceptance Model, Diffusion of Innovation Theory, Task-Technology Fit Theory, and Institutional Theory—for explaining AI adoption at different levels of analysis. Future theoretical work could extend these frameworks specifically for AI, given AI's distinctive characteristics, such as its capacity for autonomous learning and decision-making, which differ qualitatively from earlier generations of accounting technology. Integrating these established models with emerging perspectives on algorithmic trust, human-AI collaboration, and professional judgment may yield more comprehensive theoretical accounts of AI adoption in professional services contexts.

7.2 Practical and Managerial Implications

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES (IJEMSS)

e-ISSN : 3139-065X

www.ijemss.com

editor@ijemss.com

Vol. 01, Issue 2, June 2026, pp : 184-191

For accounting practitioners and organizations, the findings of this review suggest that successful AI integration requires attention to both technological and human factors. Organizations should invest not only in AI tools but also in training programs that build accountants' data literacy and analytical capabilities, enabling them to interpret and critically evaluate AI-generated outputs rather than accepting them uncritically. Management should also consider how AI adoption alters workflows, reporting relationships, and quality control processes, ensuring that human oversight remains embedded at appropriate points in AI-augmented accounting processes. For smaller organizations, the practical implication is that AI capabilities embedded within cloud-based accounting platforms may offer a more accessible entry point than developing in-house AI solutions.

7.3 Policy and Regulatory Implications

For regulators and professional accounting bodies, this review underscores the need for updated standards and guidance addressing the use of AI in financial reporting and assurance. This includes guidance on the auditability of AI-driven processes, disclosure requirements regarding the use of AI in generating financial information, and standards for professional responsibility when AI systems contribute to accounting judgments. Policymakers in developing economies, in particular, may need to consider how to support AI adoption among smaller accounting firms and organizations, ensuring that the benefits of AI-enabled efficiency and accuracy are not confined to large multinational entities. Accounting education regulators and professional certification bodies should also consider revising curricula and continuing professional education requirements to ensure that accountants are equipped with the competencies needed to work effectively alongside AI systems.

8. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is fundamentally reshaping accounting practices, extending automation beyond routine transaction processing into domains that traditionally required professional judgment, including auditing, fraud detection, tax compliance, and management decision support. This conceptual review has synthesized literature across these domains, highlighting both the substantial efficiency and analytical benefits that AI offers and the significant challenges associated with its adoption—ranging from workforce implications and skill gaps to ethical, governance, and regulatory questions.

The review identified key gaps in the existing literature, particularly regarding AI adoption in developing economies, the long-term workforce implications of automation, governance frameworks for AI accountability, and the implications of generative AI for financial reporting integrity. Drawing on established theoretical frameworks—including the Technology Acceptance Model, Diffusion of Innovation Theory, Task-Technology Fit Theory, and Institutional Theory—the paper has proposed a structured lens for understanding the multi-level dynamics of AI adoption in accounting.

The implications of this review extend to accounting practitioners, organizations, educators, and regulators. As AI technologies continue to evolve—particularly with the rapid emergence of generative AI—the accounting profession faces both an opportunity and an imperative to adapt. Realizing the full benefits of AI in accounting will depend not merely on technological capability, but on the parallel development of human competencies, organizational processes, and regulatory frameworks that ensure AI is integrated into accounting practices in ways that enhance, rather than undermine, the accuracy, transparency, and trustworthiness of financial information. Future research addressing the gaps identified in this review will be essential to guiding this transition in a manner that benefits the profession, the organizations it serves, and the broader stakeholders who rely on accounting information for decision-making.

9. References

1. Bhimani, A., & Willcocks, L. (2014). Digitisation, “Big Data” and the transformation of accounting information. Accounting and Business Research, 44(4), 469–490.

2. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of

brilliant technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.

3. Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). Only humans need apply: Winners and losers in the age of smart machines. Harper Business.
4. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340.
5. Fedyk, A., Hodson, J., Khimich, N., & Fedyk, T. (2022). Is artificial intelligence improving the audit process? Review of Accounting Studies, 27(3), 938–985.
6. Goodhue, D. L., & Thompson, R. L. (1995). Task-technology fit and individual performance. MIS Quarterly, 19(2), 213–236.
7. Hasan, A. R. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI) in accounting and auditing: A literature review. Open Journal of Business and Management, 10(1), 440–465.
8. Issa, H., Sun, T., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2016). Research ideas for artificial intelligence in auditing: The formalization of audit and workforce supplementation. Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 13(2), 1–20.
9. Jejeniwa, T. O., Mhlongo, N. Z., & Jejeniwa, T. O. (2024). A comprehensive review of the impact of artificial intelligence on modern accounting practices and financial reporting. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(4), 1031–1047.
10. Jones, S., & Free, C. (2026). What accountants need to know about artificial intelligence and machine learning: A review and call for future research. Journal of Accounting Literature, 48(5), 133–158.
11. Kokina, J., & Davenport, T. H. (2017). The emergence of artificial intelligence: How automation is changing auditing. Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 14(1), 115–122.
12. Moll, J., & Yigitbasioglu, O. (2019). The role of internet-related technologies in shaping the work of accountants: New directions for accounting research. The British Accounting Review, 51(6), 100833.
13. Munoko, I., Brown-Liburd, H. L., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2020). The ethical implications of using artificial intelligence in auditing. Journal of Business Ethics, 167(2), 209–234.
14. Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of innovations (5th ed.). Free Press.
15. Steedman, D., Halabi, A., & Labas, A. (2025). Past, present, and future ethical implications and considerations of AI in accounting: A systematic literature review. Journal of Accounting, Ethics & Public Policy, 26(2).
16. Sutton, S. G., Holt, M., & Arnold, V. (2016). "The reports of my death are greatly exaggerated"—Artificial intelligence research in accounting. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 22, 60–73.
17. Tiron-Tudor, A., Deliu, D., Farcane, N., & Dontu, A. (2021). Managing change with and through blockchain in accountancy organizations: A systematic literature review. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 34(2), 477–506.
18. Zhang, Y., Xiong, F., Xie, Y., Fan, X., & Gu, H. (2020). The impact of artificial intelligence and blockchain on the accounting profession. IEEE Access, 8, 110461–110477.